

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 17, 1999

LB 382

would be doing work anyway. So, are they going to say, pursuant to this language, that all of the income tax that these workers would pay will be considered attributable income to this convention center? And, if that's the case, are they going to break out what income that employee made during the time he or she worked on this convention center, and apply just that? Or would they say the entire amount of income that this person made during the years, if there is more than one year, or the entire year, if there is one year or less, that was spent by this person working on this convention center, would it be necessary to find out whether the employee worked anywhere else, or would they simply take the pay records that this person would have, based on his or her work on this center? I don't see Senator Raikes, so...oh, I see him, I can ask him.

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Raikes, will you yield?

SENATOR RAIKES: Yes, yes.

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Raikes, I was looking at "attributable revenue" in Section 3, which would be on page 2 of the amendment, subsection (1) of Section 3, line 7, probably on yours, of page 2.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: When they talk about "attributable revenue", and in line 9 the term "employment" appears, that is what I would like to question you about when I get some more time, and I will have some. So, if you would be reviewing that, then when the time comes that's what I will ask you about. Madam President, did you give me the one minute warning?

SENATOR CROSBY: Yes, I did.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. So I won't ask the question at this time. Thank you.

SENATOR CROSBY: You have about 20 seconds. Thank you, Senator Chambers. You've heard the closing on the Chambers amendment.